



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/787,923	06/25/2001	Alain Sanson	205399USOXPC	8658
22850 75	90 07/14/2004	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			SNEDDEN, SHERIDAN	
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA	IA, VA 22314	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/787,923	SANSON ET AL.  Art Unit	
		Examiner		
		Sheridan K Snedden	1653	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE - Extending - If th - If No - Failth	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a respect of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state or reply received by the Office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHUE, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	May 2004.		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	· ·	•	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-115 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12,18,20-42,44,4 Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 13-17,19,43,45,46,69 and 70 is/are Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	<u>47-49,52-68 and 71-115</u> is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
44)[**]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		,	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Jilice Action or form P10-152.	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application of the contract	plication No	
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		eceived.	
Attachmer	• •			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) 🔀 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/787,923

Art Unit: 1653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election of invention I, claims 1-20, 31, 33-36, 38, 40-47, 68-71 is acknowledged. Applicant's election of species of SEQ ID NO: 2 relevant to Group I claims 13-17, 19, 43, 45, 46, 69, and 70 is acknowledged. Claims 1-12, 18, 20-42, 44, 47-49, 52-68, 71-115 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

For the purposes of clarification, Applicant has elected the genus of chemical structures defined by formula VII recited in claim 13 and dependent claims thereto. Applicant has further made a species election of SEQ ID NO: 2.

Applicant traverses the restriction requirement and argues that the Examiner has not applied the standard for lack of unity nor has the Examiner provided adequate reasoning for a conclusion of lack of unity. These arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. The claims are directed to peptides that possess affinity for a phospholipid. The structure of these peptides are distinct as evident by the distinct formulas used to define the different structures of each genus. The common special technical feature then is their affinity for a phospholipid, which is NOT a contribution over the prior art as demonstrated in the teachings of Cordier-ochsenbein *et al.* Applicant has failed to specifically address these teachings as they relate to the claims. Thus, the restriction for examination purposes is deemed proper and is made **FINAL**.

2. Applicant's amendment of claims 13, 16, 18, and 21 is acknowledged. Claims 13-17, 19, 43, 45, 46, 69, and 70 are under examination.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,923

Art Unit: 1653

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In Figure 6B, there is an error in the numbering of the sequence beginning at position 75. The Figure shows both a Gly75 and a Leu75 (interpreted as Leu80). Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

4. Claims 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 16 and 17 recite nonelected subject matter withdrawn from consideration. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-17, 19, 43, 45, 46, 69, and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaplan *et al.* (J Biol Chem. 1988 Jun 15;263(17):8037-43). Kaplan *et al.* teach Endonexin II that is a member of the Ca<sup>++</sup>-dependent phopholipid binding protein family of annexins (regarding claim 19, 43). Kaplan *et al.* cloned and produced recombinant endonexin II in *E. coli*. Endonexin II is identical to SEQ ID NO: 2 at positions 25-72, and thus comprises the structure defined by formula VII (regarding claims 13-17, 69-70). Claims 45-46 recite inherent properties of Endonexin II or SEQ ID NO: 2 as limitations, and are thus taught by Kaplan *et al.* Thus, the reference clearly anticipates the invention as recited in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,923

Art Unit: 1653

#### Conclusion

## 6. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan K Snedden whose telephone number is (571) 272-0959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for regular communications to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SKS July 7, 2004

> KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER